

NETWORK

elDAS 1 elDAS 2 & AMLR

-1-

A new landscape on the horizon for CDD Data

### eIDAS 1.0 (2014)

#### **Digital Identity schemes**

- Discretionary notification process (State-controlled)
- Public-sector focus
- High level LoA EU guidelines
- Technical specs remain national
- SAML-based interoperability architecture

#### **eTrust Services**

- E-signature & seals + 3 others
- Fully open to private sector
- Accreditation process
- ETSI standards

### eIDAS 2.0 (2022)

#### **Digital Identity schemes**

- European Digital Identity
  Wallets (EDIWs) in addition to digital identity schemes
- Public & private-sector use
- Accreditation process
- Common technical specifications
- Fully recognised within EU

#### **eTrust Services**

- e-attested attributes linked to EDIWs
- e-archiving services
- e-ledgers

#### AMLR (2022)

#### Customer Due Diligence (CDD)

- Common Identity attribute requirements (natural & legal persons)

- Regulatory technical standards by future AMLA for simplified and enhanced CDD

- Recognition of EDIWs (on a par with ID documents)
  - CDD Data Portability
  - Common rules for 'third party reliance'
    - Common rules for CDD

Significant impact for the Financial Sector outsourcing





eWALLET

NICE (OR

elDAS 2 defines broad EDIW specifications

-2-

But more is to come with the

		_
<b>MUST HAVE</b>	Must be accredited – complies with common specifications	Common specifications co-constructed with eIDAS Expert Group
	Must be issued or 'approved' by a Member-State	Digital equivalent of national ID cards & passports
	Must offer <i>High</i> Level of Assurance	For remote ID-proofing - will likely imply using biometric-based ID-proofing processes (CIR 2015/15002 & ETSI 119 461)
	Must put EDIW users in full control of EDIWs	(who can disagree with this?)
	Must be accepted for identity-proofing by relying parties offering <b>financial</b> and other key services as well as ' <i>very large online platforms</i> ' (GAFAM + BATX)	Private-sector focus. Cannot be refused by key private and public service providers Relying parties will need to be authenticated
	Must accept eAAs (electronically attested attributes)	Range of attributes goes beyond core ID attributes (extends to status, qualifications, <b>financial data</b> , etc)
	Must be free of charge for users	(but not necessarily for other participants)
	Must create Qualified Electronic Signatures/seals	
	Must work offline as well as online	CRITICAL REQUIREMENTS
	Must support Strong Customer Authentication requirements (inc. for payment authorisation)	WITH STRUCTURAL IMPLICATIONS
NICE (OR VERY NICE) TO HAVE	Strengthen privacy	but will need to communicate the 'Unique identifier' whenever required (when?)
	Allow several identity profiles	Use for private/professional context
	Support CBDCs	
		-

High LoA Identity + Offline & SCA/payment initiation functionalities + **Signing/countersigning** viewed as key steps for CBDC deployment



-3-

# What to make out of this?

### (Very) ambitious proposal + tight implementation timeframe

#### The EDIW – a near universal digital credential

#### All key service providers required to accept EDIWs

- Core ID attributes
- 'e-attested attributes' (issued by eIDAS TSPs but available on EDIWs)
- A structural impact on the financial sector (AML/CFT 'obliged entities')
- 1. Data providing side : Financial institutions can provide electronically attested attributes on EDIWs (IBAN, account information, etc)
  - Not certain whether this implies TSP status

#### 2. For CDD processes : EDIWs clear substitutes for ID documents

- EDIWs avoid *Third party reliance* constraints (FATF recommendation 17)
- Key tool for CDD Data portability/reusability but economic model + liability allocation provisions need addressing

#### 3. EDIWs will authorize payments online and offline

- Structural impact on PSD2 SCA processes
- 'Redirection' no longer needed (inconsistent with offline mode)



# The Toolbox process

2nd meeting

eIDAS Expert Group meeting,

27 October 2021

# Agenda

- Opening of meeting
- Constitute working groups for the architecture and reference framework
- Use cases: discuss feedback and approach
- Present and discuss feedback on the architecture and reference framework non-paper





# 2. Working groups

# WG participation

### **WG lead volunteers**

- WG 1 (attributes)
  - Netherlands, Denmark
- WG 2 (wallet functionality)
  - Germany, Poland, Sweden, (Austria)
- WG 3 (reliance on wallet)
  - (Austria), (Poland), (Netherlands)
- WG 4 (governance)
  - Italy

### **WG participants**

- Large majority of Member States expressed intent to participate in all four working groups
- Feedback from 24 MS



# Suggestions on work organisation

### "Start with use cases"

- "wallet must support use cases and not vice versa" (EE)
- "advance with use cases before activating working groups" (IT)
- "collect requirements and continue with staged approach" (AT)
- "start with pilot use cases" (PL)

### **Management**

- Based on experience with DCC, "work must be clearly scoped, done in increments, with a clear decision making process for each step" (SE)
- Main principles are derived from eIDAS
  proposal
- Overall architecture owner is eIDAS expert group (ARF non-paper)
- WG-s reshuffled taking into account basic use cases

European

Commission provides Technical Secretariat (?)



# **User Stories for the European Digital** Wallet

E

27/10/2021

### **Next Steps towards implementation**





### **User Stories**



#### User story 2: issuing attributes









# Detailing the Lifecycle into User journeys



### Trusted Accreditation Organisation



1. On-boarding of actors



- Registration of Wallets
- Accreditation of issuers of electronic Attestations

# 2. Issuing & storage

Request issuance of electronic Attestations Storage of of electronic Attestations



# 3. Presentation & verification

Holder

- Request of electronic Attestations
- Share Presentation
- Verify Claims





### Understanding the roles

Distribution of roles per Member State





### Electronic Attestation of Attributes applied to use cases

A look at the exchange of electronic Attestations into concrete cases provides further insights



# Use cases as journeys





## 3. Use Cases

### Studying abroad Use Case

What do we want to achieve?

The Diploma Use Case concerns the crossborder verification of educational credentials.

This means that a verifiable attestation (such as a diploma) issued by Member State A can be verified by a university or third party, e.g. an "employer", from Member State B.



### Identifying the user journey

Example (1): Eva requests the issuance of her Bachelor's diploma to the University of Ghent (BE)



16

# Thank you !